

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/611,320	07/06/2000	Richard J. Collins	16762-85-US01	6426	
26853 7	590 05/21/2002				
COVINGTON & BURLING ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING 1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			FRIDIE JR, WILLMON		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004-2401		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3722		
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L

Office Action Summary

Application No. Application No. 09/611,320

Applicant(s)

Kendle

Examiner

Willmon Fridie

Art Unit **3722**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
	or Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
	- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 1, 20	002		· ·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final		•		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>24-33</u>		<u>.</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 24-33			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
	Claims					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	:a)□ a _l	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).						
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<u> </u>				
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:					
31 □ III	iorniation disclosure Statement(s) (FTO-1449) Paper No(s).	or Otner:				

Application/Control Number: 09/611320 Page 2

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claims is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Theken. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 09/611320 Page 3

Art Unit:

 Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 24-27 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rinderknecht in view of Theken.

Rinderknecht discloses the claimed invention except for a plurality of craters forming treads. Theken teaches that it is well known in the art to use a plurality of craters (30,32) to form treads. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the notch (16) of Rinderknecht with craters as taught by Theken in order to increase the grippability.

In regard to claims 29-33, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the treads at any desired location, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

In regard to claim 27 it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the magnetic stripe at any desired location, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

6. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rinderknecht in view of Theken as applied to claims 24-27 and 29-33 above, and further in view of McNeely et al..

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/611320

Art Unit:

Rinderknecht discloses the claimed invention except for a computerchip. McNeely et al. teaches that it is well known in the art to use a computer chip in a credit card. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Rinderknecht with a computer chip in order to increase the information storage capacity of the assembly.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into the Group...Official- (703)872-9302...After Final- (703) 872 9303. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3700 will be promptly forward to the examiner.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to W. Fridie, jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1866.

wf

May 17, 2002

WILLIAM FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3200